UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/542,947	07/21/2005	Makoto Nishizaki	2005-1122A	5778
513 7590 06/23/2009 WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P.			EXAMINER	
1030 15th Street, N.W.,			GODBOLD, DOUGLAS	
Suite 400 East Washington, DC 20005-1503			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2626	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/23/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	10/542,947	NISHIZAKI ET AI Art Unit 2626 N/A. of an agreement Claim 55 was disaggested that "ope vould render the of been filed, APPI Y DAYS FROM T WHICHEVER IS	L.			
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	DOUGLAS C. GODBOLD	2626				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) <u>DOUGLAS C. GODBOLD</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>Andrew Dunlap</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: <u>18 May 2009</u> .						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant 2	²)∏ applicant's representative	·]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) No.					
Claim(s) discussed: <u>55,58 and 67</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: Kivimaki.						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) № N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Exmainer clarified 112 rejections of claims 58 and 67. Claim 55 was discussed in reference to Kivimaki and how to distingguish the claim from the prior art. It was also suggested that "operable to" be removed from the claim language. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
/Douglas C Godbold/ Examiner Art Unit 2626						